



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688
Telephone 415-865-4200 • Fax 415-865-4205 • TDD 415-865-4272

MEMORANDUM

Date

August 12, 2016

Action Requested

Please Review

To

Executive Officers of the Superior Courts
Fiscal Contacts of the Superior Courts

Deadline

The Distribution is Scheduled to be Available
Monday, August 15, 2016

From

Patrick Ballard, Budget Supervisor
Budget Services

Contact

Patrick Ballard, Budget Services
(818)558-3115
patrick.ballard@jud.ca.gov

Subject

FY 2016–2017 Trial Court Trust Fund,
Immediate and Critical Needs Account, and
General Fund August Distribution (#2)

The statewide Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF), Immediate and Critical Needs Account (ICNA), and General Fund distribution in August is \$314,404,683.

The following documents have been prepared for your information:

- Attachment 1A – TCTF, ICNA, and General Fund Distribution Table, which displays the distribution amounts
- Attachment 1B – Monthly Trial Court Operations and Court-Appointed Counsel Distribution Computation, which displays the gross and net distribution
- Attachment 2A – TCTF Trial Court Operations and Court-Appointed Counsel Allocation Table, which displays base and one-time allocations
- Attachment 2B – TCTF Trial Court Operations Charges and Other Special Adjustments
- Attachment 3 – TCTF Compensation of Superior Court Judges Allocation Table
- Attachment 4 – General Fund Allocation for Trial Court Benefits and ICNA-Funded Trial Court Operations Allocation
- Attachment 5 – Immediate and Critical Needs Account Distribution Schedule

FY 2016–2017 TCTF Allocations Approved by the Judicial Council on June 24, 2016 and July 29, 2016

Allocation of \$91.6 Million in New Funding By WAFM and Other WAFM Adjustments

The allocation table includes ongoing funding of \$19.6 million for general court operations allocated in proportion to each court's share identified by the 2016–2017 Workload-based Allocation and Funding Methodology (WAFM) (see Column J of the allocation table). In addition, the allocation table includes a reallocation of \$576.2 million, or 40 percent, and an additional \$233.8 million of courts' historical WAFM-related base allocation of \$1.44 billion (Columns E, F, H, and K), reallocation of \$214.2 million in new funding provided in 2013–2014 through 2015–2016 for general court operations (Columns G and I), and each court's share of the 2016–2017 WAFM funding floor allocation adjustment (Column L).

\$412,000 of the \$20 million provided in the 2016 Budget Act for general court operations was set aside to fund court-provided security cost increases. At the Judicial Council meeting on July 28, 2015, the council approved the submission of a BCP to address increased costs for court-provided (non-sheriff) security for the maintenance of funding at FY2010–2011 security levels. In addition, beginning in FY 2016–2017 and beyond, if any new General Fund augmentation for general trial court operations is received, courts with court-provided (non-sheriff) security since 2010–2011 would be provided funding based on the lesser of the growth funding percentage that the county sheriff received or the General Fund augmentation percentage increased. The growth funding would cease if a court discontinued its court-provided security services.

\$8.5 Million in 2015–2016 Benefits Cost Change Funding

The allocation table includes \$8.5 million in ongoing funding provided in the 2016 Budget Act based on courts' confirmed rates for 2015–2016 full-year cost changes related to retirement, employee health, and retiree health for non-interpreter employees (see Column M of the allocation table).

\$20.3 Million 2012–2013 and 2013–2014 Previously Unfunded Benefits Costs Allocations

The allocation table includes \$20.3 million in ongoing funding of the returned Department of Finance funding reductions for estimated 2012–2013 and 2013–2014 employer subsidies of non-interpreter employee retirement contributions (see Column N of the allocation table). \$13.3 million in ongoing funding was provided in the 2015 Budget Act and was allocated one-time to the courts in 2015–2016 with an additional \$7 million in ongoing funding provided in the 2016 Budget Act as a return of the reduction included in the 2014 Budget Act as an acknowledgement of the progress courts were making toward meeting the Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013 standard (PEPRA) standard. With the \$20.3 million restored, the trial courts will be made whole for their 2012–2013 and 2013–2014 benefits cost increases.

Non-Sheriffs Security Funding

The allocation table includes \$754,000 in ongoing funding for court-provided security costs (see Columns O and P of the allocation table). \$343,000 in ongoing funding was included in the 2016 Budget Act based on a Budget Change Proposal submitted to address the increased costs for marshals in two courts (see Column P). In addition, \$412,000 of the \$20 million provided in the 2016 Budget Act for general court operations was set aside to fund court-provided security cost increases (see Column O). At the Judicial Council meeting on July 28, 2015, the council approved the submission of a BCP to address increased costs for court-provided (non-sheriff) security for the maintenance of funding at FY2010–2011 security levels. In addition, beginning in FY 2016–2017 and beyond, if any new General Fund augmentation for general trial court operations is received, courts with court-provided (non-sheriff) security since 2010–2011 would be provided funding based on the lesser of the growth funding percentage that the county sheriff received or the General Fund augmentation percentage increased. The growth funding would cease if a court discontinued its court-provided security services.

Criminal Justice Realignment

The allocation table includes a \$9.223 million one-time full-year allocation for costs related to criminal justice realignment (see Column Q of the allocation table). At its July 29, 2016, business meeting, the Judicial Council approved the full-year allocation of this 2012 Budget Act funding based on the most current available postrelease community supervision (PRCS) and parole workload data submitted to the Judicial Council's Criminal Justice Services office pursuant to Penal Code section 13155 (each court's percentage of the statewide number of petitions filed and court motions made to revoke/modify PRCS and parole).

Proposition 47 Workload

The allocation table includes \$10.7 million in one-time funding to address increased workload associated with Proposition 47, the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Act (see Column R of the allocation table). The 2016 Budget Act provides \$21.4 million in one-time funding for Proposition 47 workload costs. At its July 29, 2016, business meeting, the Judicial Council allocated \$10.7 million to be distributed immediately based on each court's share of statewide petitions for resentencing and reclassification from October 1, 2015, to March 31, 2016, and \$10.7 million based on each court's share of statewide petitions for resentencing and reclassification from April 1, 2016, to September 30, 2016, with funding to be distributed in January 2017.

Preliminary Reduction for Fund Balance Above the 1% Cap

The allocation table includes a preliminary one-time reduction of \$9.4 million to courts that are projecting the portion of their 2015–2016 ending fund balance that is subject to the 1 percent fund balance cap to exceed the cap, as required by statute (see Column S of the allocation table).

Based on courts' final 1% computation, final adjustments are anticipated to be allocated in December.

Preliminary TCTF Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts

The allocation table includes a preliminary one-time allocation of \$7.2 million for 2016–2017 TCTF funds held on behalf of the trial courts based on the process approved by the Judicial Council at its April 15, 2016 business meeting (see Column T of the allocation table). The amounts reflect requests approved by the Judicial Council at its June 24, 2016 and July 29, 2016 business meetings adjusted for those courts' preliminary reductions for 2015–2016 fund balance above the 1% cap. Based on courts' final 1% cap computation as well as their amended TCTF funds held on behalf of the trial courts requests, final adjustments are anticipated to be allocated in December.

Distribution of Base and Other Allocations

Based on the estimated cash available in the TCTF as of August 12, 2016, this month's distribution of base and other allocations (which are posted to GL 812110, and excluding allocations related to reimbursements and revenue collections) is \$10.3 million higher statewide than the estimate for August 2016 provided to the courts in July in the "2016–17 Estimated Monthly Base Distribution" (see Column C1 of the Monthly Trial Court Operations and Court-Appointed Counsel Distribution Computation table, Attachment 1B).

In addition to the now-approved pending Judicial Council allocations provided in the previous version, the updated "2016–17 Estimated Monthly Base Distribution" includes the \$9.4 million preliminary 1% fund balance cap reduction which affects 20 courts, a preliminary \$7.2 million TCTF funds held on behalf of the trial courts allocation adjusted based on the 1% cap reduction affecting 13 courts, and a reduction for appointed converted SJO positions affecting one court. The workbook also updated the amounts of the monthly distributions with significant changes to the month of October.

Please note that the Proposition 47 workload funding reflects only half of total allocation (\$21.4 million). The remaining \$10.7 million will be distributed in January 2017 based on each court's share of statewide petitions for resentencing and reclassification from April 1, 2016, to September 30, 2016. Due to current estimated cash availability in June 2017, we anticipate that \$21.5 million (1.2% of courts' base allocations) will need to be borrowed from other judicial branch funds in order to distribute courts' base allocations fully in June 2017. Otherwise, that portion would be distributed in July 2017.

TCTF Trial Court Operations and Court-Appointed Counsel Reimbursements

Court-Appointed Counsel Reimbursement

This month's distribution includes reimbursement of 2016–2017 court-appointed dependency counsel expenditures (see Column U of the allocation table). The Judicial Council at its April 17, 2015 meeting approved a methodology for allocating the existing base funding of \$103.7 million based on each court's share of the workload funding need as calculated by the workload model for juvenile dependency with the new allocation methodology phased in over four years. In 2016–2017 (year two), each court's base allocation amount is calculated based 60 percent on its share of the historical base in 2014–2015 and 40 percent on its share of the statewide juvenile dependency workload funding need estimate of \$202.9 million. For the additional \$11 million provided in the 2015 Budget Act, the council directed that any new state funds designated for court-appointed dependency counsel be allocated only to courts with a ratio of allocated base funding to their calculated workload-based funding need below the statewide ratio of base funding to workload-based funding required to meet the workload standard (51.1%). The Judicial Council at its July 29, 2016 meeting approved a modification to the court-appointed counsel funding allocation methodology to provide \$406,000 in relief from the reallocation of funding to courts with smaller caseloads.

Reimbursement funding included in this distribution is based on expenditures reported in the Phoenix Financial System through the prior month. The reimbursement amount includes all year-to-date expenditures posted through the end of the month less any year-to-date distributions. Any entry posted after a given month's calculation will be included in the subsequent month's calculation. If you have any questions about this reimbursement, please contact Don Will, Principal Manager, Center for Families, Children & the Courts, at (415) 865-7557.

Jury Reimbursement

This month's distribution includes reimbursement of 2016–2017 jury costs (see Column V of the allocation table). The reimbursement for all courts consists of the reimbursable costs reported in the Phoenix Financial System for the prior month. If no costs are displayed in the report, either because there were no reimbursable jury costs those months or because the costs were reported in Phoenix after the expenditure report was run, no reimbursement will be provided in this distribution. A final reconciliation of actual expenditures to allocations will be made after the end of the fiscal year.

As a reminder, reimbursed civil juror expenditures should not be reported in Fund 110001. Reimbursement for meals and lodging costs are only allowable for sequestered jurors. Sequestered jurors are jurors not allowed to return home at the end of the day, but instead are ordered by the court to be housed separately and apart from the public, and sometimes their

families, during the entire trial or during deliberations. This is not the same as routine jury deliberations.

TCTF Trial Court Operations Distribution Reductions for Program Cost Charges and Other Adjustments

Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Program Premium

This month's distribution includes reduction to reflect this month's premiums for courts participating in the Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Program (JBWCP) (see Column C of the Charges and Other Special Adjustments table, Attachment 2B). The JBWCP Advisory Committee provided allocation recommendations to the Judicial Council which were approved at its June 24, 2016 business meeting. The reduction amount reflects 1/12th of each court's annual premium. If you have any questions about the JBWCP, please contact Linda Cox, Principal Manager, Human Resources, at (415) 865-4290.

Repayment of FY 2015–2016 Cash Advance

This month's distribution includes a reduction for the repayment of cash advances received in FY 2015–2016 (see Column D of the Charges and Other Special Adjustments table, Attachment 2B).

TCTF Judges' Compensation Distribution

This month's distribution reflects 1/12th of participating courts' annual amount for judges' local salary reimbursements, which is calculated using the number of authorized judgeships (excluding the 50 unfunded AB 159 judgeships authorized in 2007–2008) for each participating court as of July 1, 2016 as well as the population tier to which the court's county belongs (See Column B, seventh to last column, of Attachment 1A–TCTF, ICNA, and General Fund Distribution Table).

TCTF Court Interpreter Program Distribution

This month's distribution (See Column AX of Attachment 1A–TCTF, ICNA, and General Fund Distribution Table) includes the following:

- (1) A true-up of the July staff interpreter distribution for those courts where the FY 2016–2017 Schedule 7A was not yet available and the FY 2015–2016 Schedule 7A was used. This part of the distribution is based on the salaries and benefits of filled staff interpreter positions for model classes 2019A, 2019D, and 2019E;
- (2) 95 percent of one month (1/12th) of the staff interpreter employee costs based on the FY 2016–2017 Schedule 7A;

- (3) Two months (2/12ths) of the salaries and benefits for eligible interpreter coordinators for five courts and two months (2/12ths) of the salaries and benefits and OE&E for 4.0 interpreter supervisors in three courts;
- (4) Actual staff interpreter cross-assignment costs for July;
- (5) Actual costs for contractor services in mandated cases posted in the Phoenix Financial System associated with Fund 110001, Functional Area 1320, and the expenditure accounts eligible for reimbursement (i.e., GL 938502, 938503, 938504, 938505, 938506, 938507, 938509, 938510 and 938511), and;
- (6) Actual contractor costs posted in the expenditure accounts eligible for reimbursement (see 5 above) in the WBSEs for domestic violence, family law cases with domestic violence issues, and elder/dependent adult physical (non-financial) abuse (O-BA9405), and civil (O-BA9406).

Immediate and Critical Needs Account Distribution

The Budget Act of 2016 authorizes up to \$50 million of courts' allocation for court operations to be distributed directly from the ICNA. The distribution represents 1/12th of each court's total TCTF reduction adjustment related to the ICNA distribution. The monthly ICNA distribution schedule is displayed in Attachment 5.

The attached distribution table (Attachment 1B) displays how TCTF trial court operations and court-appointed counsel distributions are computed. Assuming no further adjustments, courts will have received the full allocation with the final 2016–2017 distribution in August 2017.

Court funds are not to be used for the purchase of electronic recording equipment except as provided in Government Code section 69957.

Attachments (7)

cc: Mark Dusman, Acting Chief Administrative Officer, JCC Administrative Division
Zlatko Theodorovic, Director and Chief Financial Officer, JCC Budget Services

FY 2016-17 Estimated Distribution of Calculated TCTF "Base" 812110 Allocation as of July 29, 2016 (See assumptions below)

Court	Total Allocation Col. A	July 2016 Col. B	August 2016 Col. C	September 2016 Col. D	October 2016 Col. E	November 2016 Col. F	December 2016 Col. G	January 2017 Col. H	February 2017 Col. I	March 2017 Col. J	April 2017 Col. K	May 2017 Col. L	June 2017 Col. M	July 2017 Col. N	Total Col. O
Alameda	70,291,565	12,087,780	12,369,857	11,914,316	11,488,703	81,876	81,876	6,779,982	81,876	81,876	6,779,982	6,779,982	1,763,457	-	70,291,565
Alpine	470,526	114,274	75,713	72,925	70,320	501	501	41,499	501	501	41,499	41,499	10,794	-	470,526
Amador	2,153,599	365,136	378,866	364,913	353,325	2,508	2,508	209,106	2,508	2,508	209,106	207,658	54,011	1,448	2,153,599
Butte	9,806,133	1,666,386	1,726,681	1,663,093	1,607,485	11,429	11,429	950,205	11,429	11,429	950,205	946,403	246,157	3,803	9,806,133
Calaveras	2,032,987	345,481	358,472	345,270	333,134	2,373	2,373	196,678	2,373	2,373	196,678	196,480	51,104	198	2,032,987
Colusa	1,755,429	298,430	309,651	298,248	287,593	2,050	2,050	169,721	2,050	2,050	169,721	169,721	44,144	-	1,755,429
Contra Costa	36,475,924	6,201,055	6,246,929	6,245,951	6,022,828	42,923	42,923	3,554,332	42,923	42,923	3,554,332	3,554,332	924,473	-	36,475,924
Del Norte	2,350,663	416,681	411,023	395,886	381,744	2,721	2,721	225,284	2,721	2,721	225,284	225,284	58,596	-	2,350,663
El Dorado	6,289,507	1,065,361	1,105,081	1,064,384	1,032,466	7,315	7,315	611,805	7,315	7,315	611,805	605,701	157,541	6,105	6,289,507
Fresno	44,449,980	7,543,769	7,827,417	7,539,159	7,288,822	51,810	51,810	4,309,230	51,810	51,810	4,309,230	4,290,248	1,115,883	18,983	44,449,980
Glenn	1,729,682	293,844	304,892	293,664	283,481	2,018	2,018	167,421	2,018	2,018	167,421	167,113	43,466	308	1,729,682
Humboldt	6,031,908	1,023,366	1,061,845	1,022,741	989,268	7,028	7,028	585,065	7,028	7,028	585,065	582,003	151,378	3,063	6,031,908
Imperial	8,294,419	1,405,756	1,458,613	1,404,897	1,361,076	9,655	9,655	805,839	9,655	9,655	805,839	799,473	207,941	6,366	8,294,419
Inyo	1,874,037	318,357	330,327	318,162	307,145	2,186	2,186	181,403	2,186	2,186	181,403	181,054	47,092	349	1,874,037
Kern	42,836,570	7,275,812	7,549,385	7,271,366	7,021,287	49,970	49,970	4,147,532	49,970	49,970	4,147,532	4,137,857	1,076,246	9,675	42,836,570
Kings	6,636,610	1,127,242	1,169,626	1,126,553	1,087,793	7,742	7,742	642,562	7,742	7,742	642,562	641,078	166,743	1,484	6,636,610
Lake	3,211,042	545,890	566,416	545,557	526,068	3,749	3,749	310,455	3,749	3,749	310,455	310,455	80,749	-	3,211,042
Lassen	2,127,161	366,485	373,289	359,542	347,759	2,471	2,471	205,662	2,471	2,471	205,662	204,602	53,216	1,060	2,127,161
Los Angeles	503,536,290	85,603,217	88,821,928	85,550,907	82,494,786	587,915	587,915	48,683,755	587,915	587,915	48,683,755	48,683,755	12,662,526	-	503,536,290
Madera	7,145,455	1,214,757	1,260,432	1,214,014	1,170,646	8,343	8,343	690,849	8,343	8,343	690,849	690,849	179,688	-	7,145,455
Marin	11,103,565	1,880,419	1,951,124	1,879,270	1,822,772	12,915	12,915	1,080,056	12,915	12,915	1,080,056	1,069,421	278,154	10,635	11,103,565
Mariposa	1,040,229	176,843	183,493	176,735	170,422	1,215	1,215	100,573	1,215	1,215	100,573	100,573	26,159	-	1,040,229
Mendocino	5,019,723	855,954	883,101	850,580	822,325	5,845	5,845	486,162	5,845	5,845	486,162	484,032	125,896	2,130	5,019,723
Merced	10,981,602	1,864,691	1,934,804	1,863,551	1,800,254	12,807	12,807	1,063,750	12,807	12,807	1,063,750	1,060,476	275,827	3,274	10,981,602
Modoc	790,969	134,336	139,387	134,254	129,652	923	923	76,593	923	923	76,593	76,399	19,871	194	790,969
Mono	1,550,663	263,619	273,531	263,458	254,046	1,811	1,811	149,924	1,811	1,811	149,924	149,924	38,995	-	1,550,663
Monterey	16,716,933	2,841,947	2,948,805	2,840,210	2,738,750	19,518	19,518	1,616,255	19,518	19,518	1,616,255	1,616,255	420,384	-	16,716,933
Napa	6,953,827	1,179,698	1,224,056	1,178,978	1,140,509	8,102	8,102	674,559	8,102	8,102	674,559	670,911	174,502	3,648	6,953,827
Nevada	4,666,398	793,307	823,135	792,822	764,500	5,448	5,448	451,165	5,448	5,448	451,165	451,165	117,347	-	4,666,398
Orange	130,755,537	22,228,973	23,064,790	22,215,390	21,421,793	152,667	152,667	12,641,930	152,667	152,667	12,641,930	12,641,930	3,288,135	-	130,755,537
Placer	14,544,221	2,468,340	2,548,109	2,470,222	2,388,209	16,976	16,976	1,411,939	16,976	16,976	1,411,939	1,405,709	365,621	6,230	14,544,221
Plumas	1,157,166	196,307	203,688	196,187	189,790	1,348	1,348	112,254	1,348	1,348	112,254	111,642	29,038	612	1,157,166
Riverside	81,489,436	13,853,535	14,374,433	13,845,070	13,350,485	95,145	95,145	7,878,701	95,145	95,145	7,878,701	7,878,701	2,049,231	-	81,489,436
Sacramento	68,960,790	11,794,301	12,140,070	11,692,991	11,286,264	80,356	80,356	6,665,015	80,356	80,356	6,665,015	6,654,035	1,730,698	10,980	68,960,790
San Benito	2,231,288	379,328	393,591	379,096	365,554	2,605	2,605	215,729	2,605	2,605	215,729	215,729	56,111	-	2,231,288
San Bernardino	91,802,480	15,566,035	16,151,323	15,556,523	15,060,740	106,906	106,906	8,912,563	106,906	106,906	8,912,563	8,852,623	2,302,546	59,940	91,802,480
San Diego	129,340,332	21,988,382	22,815,153	21,974,946	21,189,939	151,014	151,014	12,505,103	151,014	151,014	12,505,103	12,505,103	3,252,547	-	129,340,332
San Francisco	49,805,591	8,464,175	8,782,431	8,459,003	8,161,202	58,131	58,131	4,818,074	58,131	58,131	4,818,074	4,813,696	1,252,031	4,379	49,805,591
San Joaquin	31,573,759	5,358,835	5,560,329	5,355,560	5,177,233	36,804	36,804	3,060,634	36,804	36,804	3,060,634	3,047,645	792,685	12,989	31,573,759
San Luis Obispo	12,663,221	2,149,620	2,230,447	2,148,306	2,076,238	14,763	14,763	1,227,194	14,763	14,763	1,227,194	1,222,519	317,974	4,675	12,663,221
San Mateo	31,725,229	5,386,662	5,589,202	5,383,370	5,200,996	36,995	36,995	3,073,406	36,995	36,995	3,073,406	3,063,470	796,801	9,936	31,725,229
Santa Barbara	18,964,013	3,305,147	3,318,423	3,196,216	3,093,218	21,965	21,965	1,830,024	21,965	21,965	1,830,024	1,818,845	473,077	11,180	18,964,013
Santa Clara	71,575,954	12,168,203	12,625,732	12,160,768	11,726,351	83,570	83,570	6,920,229	83,570	83,570	6,920,229	6,920,229	1,799,935	-	71,575,954
Santa Cruz	11,345,839	1,925,115	1,997,500	1,923,939	1,860,686	13,222	13,222	1,100,316	13,222	13,222	1,100,316	1,094,840	284,765	5,476	11,345,839
Shasta	12,252,436	2,081,402	2,159,663	2,080,130	2,008,119	14,295	14,295	1,186,020	14,295	14,295	1,186,020	1,183,722	307,883	2,298	12,252,436
Sierra	683,937	116,165	120,533	116,094	112,104	798	798	66,222	798	798	66,222	66,065	17,183	158	683,937
Siskiyou	2,790,811	479,473	491,236	473,127	456,225	3,251	3,251	269,238	3,251	3,251	269,238	269,238	70,028	-	2,790,811
Solano	19,563,465	3,318,598	3,443,379	3,316,571	3,208,784	22,792	22,792	1,898,025	22,792	22,792	1,898,025	1,887,334	490,891	10,691	19,563,465
Sonoma	21,380,154	3,632,181	3,768,752	3,629,961	3,504,013	24,945	24,945	2,069,396	24,945	24,945	2,069,396	2,065,672	537,276	3,724	21,380,154
Stanislaus	19,447,966	3,298,287	3,422,304	3,296,272	3,190,205	22,652	22,652	1,887,467	22,652	22,652	1,887,467	1,875,782	487,886	11,685	19,447,966
Sutter	4,544,448	772,099	801,131	771,628	744,762	5,303	5,303	439,803	5,303	5,303	439,803	439,104	114,210	699	4,544,448
Tehama	3,498,203	594,481	616,834	594,118	573,229	4,083	4,083	338,425	4,083	4,083	338,425	338,090	87,936	335	3,498,203
Trinity	1,709,547	290,562	301,487	290,384	280,111	1,996	1,996	165,347	1,996	1,996	165,347	165,247	42,980	100	1,709,547
Tulare	16,879,809	2,867,445	2,975,262	2,865,693	2,766,544	19,693	19,693	1,633,979	19,693	19,693	1,633,979	1,630,756	424,156	3,223	16,879,809

FY 2016-17 Estimated Distribution of Calculated TCTF "Base" 812110 Allocation as of July 29, 2016 (See assumptions below)

Court	Total Allocation Col. A	July 2016 Col. B	August 2016 Col. C	September 2016 Col. D	October 2016 Col. E	November 2016 Col. F	December 2016 Col. G	January 2017 Col. H	February 2017 Col. I	March 2017 Col. J	April 2017 Col. K	May 2017 Col. L	June 2017 Col. M	July 2017 Col. N	Total Col. O
Tuolumne	3,015,234	511,534	530,768	511,222	494,530	3,513	3,513	292,487	3,513	3,513	292,487	290,917	75,667	1,570	3,015,234
Ventura	32,904,666	5,593,927	5,804,261	5,590,509	5,390,800	38,419	38,419	3,181,345	38,419	38,419	3,181,345	3,181,345	827,460	-	32,904,666
Yolo	8,991,172	1,528,536	1,586,009	1,527,602	1,473,032	10,498	10,498	869,300	10,498	10,498	869,300	869,300	226,103	-	8,991,172
Yuba	4,255,464	721,839	748,980	721,398	697,991	4,958	4,958	412,884	4,958	4,958	412,884	410,520	106,775	2,364	4,255,464
Total	1,718,175,566	292,309,383	302,633,700	291,733,700	281,548,104	2,004,826	2,004,826	166,250,468	2,004,826	2,004,826	166,250,468	166,014,508	43,179,969	235,960	1,718,175,566

Assumptions

1) Assumes courts' base distributions in each of the months of July through October will be roughly doubled, with reduced distributions in the months November through March based on estimated cash available in the TCTF in the given month.